1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	JAMES KALAAUKAHI,	Case No. 2:23-cv-	-1268-JDP (P)
12	Plaintiff,		
13	v.	ORDER TO SHO	W CAUSE
14	Y. SALINAS GONZALEZ, et al.,		
15	Defendants.		
16			
17	On November 8, 2024, defendants filed a motion to compel. ECF No. 38. To date,		
18	plaintiff has not filed a response.		
19	To manage its docket effectively, the court requires litigants to meet certain deadlines.		
20	The court may impose sanctions, including dismissing a case, for failure to comply with its orders		
21	or local rules. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); E.D. Cal. L.R. 110; Hells Canyon Pres. Council v. U.S.		
22	Forest Serv., 403 F.3d 683, 689 (9th Cir. 2005); Carey v. King, 856 F.2d 1439, 1440-41 (9th Cir.		
23	1988). Involuntary dismissal is a harsh penalty, but a district court has a duty to administer		
24	justice expeditiously and avoid needless burden for the parties. See Pagtalunan v. Galaza, 291		
25	F.3d 639, 642 (9th Cir. 2002); Fed. R. Civ. P. 1.		
26	I will give plaintiff a chance to explain why the court should not dismiss the case for his		
27	failure to file an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. Plaintiff's		
28	failure to respond to this order will constitute a failure to comply with a court order and will result		
		1	

Case 2:23-cv-01268-JDP Document 42 Filed 01/06/25 Page 2 of 2

in a recommendation that this action be dismissed. Accordingly, plaintiff is ordered to show cause within twenty-one days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute and failure to comply with court orders. Should plaintiff wish to continue with this lawsuit, he shall file, within twenty-one days, an opposition or statement of non-opposition to defendants' motion. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: January 6, 2025 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE